



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3510</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A review on Bridging Molecular Biology and Ecological Dynamics through Integrative Approaches in Zoology</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>		Okay
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	Yes	
<b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	Yes	
<b>6. Are the references sufficient</b>	Yes	



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>There is mistake of spacing in some places given as below</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>to:Highlight</b></li><li>2. <b>research.Discuss</b></li><li>3. <b>management.Evaluate</b></li><li>4. <b>(eDNA).Advancements</b></li><li>5. <b>mechanisms.Mitochondrial</b></li><li>6. <b>resilience.Population</b></li><li>7. <b>vice versa.The</b></li><li>8. <b>research.Molecular</b></li><li>9. <b>genome.Research</b></li><li>10. <b>strategies.Techniques</b></li><li>11. <b>level.These</b></li><li>12. <b>ecosystems.Despite</b></li></ol>	
<p><b><u>Optional</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Good</p>	



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	